

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/083,926 02/27/2002		02/27/2002	Lixiao Wang	10527-395001 / 02-026	4859		
26161	7590	01/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		RDSON PC	HO, UY	HO, UYEN T			
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3731			
				DATE MAILED: 01/20/2000	DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/083,92	6	WANG ET AL.	WANG ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			(Jackie) Ta	an-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation app	ears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF TIME MANSIONS OF THE MANSI	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 unication. tutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no ever vill apply and will cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this one NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>10 No</i>	ovember 20	005.					
·—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)									
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		·						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-81</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 37-72 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
,	Claim(s) <u>1-18, 20-36, 73-81</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16, 20-36, 73-61</u> Israfe rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
, -	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the	Evamine	r						
• —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		_	Objected to by the	e Examiner				
اسارها	Applicant may not request that any object	-	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including					FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		-						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P'  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or learn No(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments and the amendment filed 11/10/05 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18, 20-36, 43, 73-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vigil et al. (5,336,234) in view of Grayzel et al. (2002/0010489). Vigil et al. disclose an angioplasty dilate balloon carrying cutting elements (19). Vigil et al. also teach that the stiffness of thin outer wall of a dilate balloon is a concern as placing the strips for support the cutting elements. Although, Vigil et al. do not disclose a balloon with reinforced wall as claimed for supporting the cutting element, attention is directed to Grayzel et al. reference which teach an angioplasty dilate balloon being reinforced by a second material encapsulated by the balloon material (5b) for enhancing the stiffness of the balloon wall at certain area. Grayzel et al. also teach the reinforcing members can be varying in length to provide the balloon with flexibility and reinforcing members being radiopaque. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Vigil et al.'s device in view of Grayzel et al. by having the strips/reinforcing member encapsulate within the balloon materials. Doing so would amount to mere changing of the location of

the reinforcing member from one location to another location on the balloon within the art that would perform equally well in Vigil et al.'s device and it would have been modify the Virgil's device to having reinforcing member as disclosed by Grayzel et al. in order to provide more flexibility to the balloon and provide means for locating the device within a body lumen.

In regard to claim 30, although the teaching of Virgil in view of Grayzel does not disclose the striped portion being made from a liquid crystal polymer, Grayzel and Vigil et al. suggests to make the strips from plastic. A liquid crystal polymer is a well-known material in the art to make angioplasty balloon portions that require rigid. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the material of the striped portion of the Virgil in view of Grayzel's balloon with a liquid crystal polymer in order to provide stiffness to a balloon portion to support the cutting element on the balloon.

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3731

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is

571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

ouverllele\_

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3731